I'm Glad It Is Him And...

Not Me!



"...ye should earnestly contend for the faith which was once delivered unto the saints." (Jude 1:3)

For years I have appreciated the ministry of David Cloud, thinking, I'm glad it is him and not me that has that responsibility. I have been very wrong concerning that compromising attitude.

What makes me think that I am exempt from preaching...all the counsel of God? Is it just men like David Cloud that are commanded to...mark...and avoid...them which cause divisions and offences contrary to the doctrine which we have learned? (Romans 16:17). Am I exempt from this verse so I can keep all my friends happy and keep the support cheques coming in?

Am I to be silent when Jack Schaap openly praises the rap dancers at the Richmond Outreach Center, referring to them as "...awesome," while thousands of so-called fundamental pastors applaud the trash that is being perpetrated there in the Name of Christ?

See our article concerning "The New Ways of Fundamentalism."

In it young people are grabbing at their crotch while rap dancing at their church. THIS IS WHAT SCHAAP REFERRED TO AS "AWESOME."

(ARTICLE available at www.fairhavensbaptist.net.)

In Ezekiel 44:23, God's leadership was not rebuked for something they did, they were rebuked for something they did not do.

They were commanded to teach God's people "...the difference between the holy and profane, and cause them to discern between the unclean and the clean."

If the trash that Jack Schaap promoted is not profane and unclean, what is? Why do fundamental leaders still support Schaap? The Word of God commands us to separate from "...every brother that walketh disorderly, and not after the tradition which he received of us." (Second Thessalonians 3:6).

Verse fourteen is very clear: "And if any man obey not our word by this epistle, note that man, and have no company with him, that he may be ashamed." Those verses should not be too difficult for any of us to understand. Were these verses only written for David Cloud and the very few ministries like his or were they written for every pastor?

Let me make it very clear that my purpose in this article is not to defend David Cloud. My purpose is to defend truth.

We are commanded to "...earnestly contend for the faith which was once delivered unto the saints." (Jude 1:3). Jesus said, "Yea, and why even of yourselves judge ye not what is right?" (Luke 12:57).

Is It Right To Remain Silent About This Disgusting Blasphemy?

In Schaap's book Marriage: The Divine Intimacy Schaap wrote that when a person receives Christ as Saviour, "...it is a spiritual intercourse. A person receives the body of Christ. A Christian is the female gender in the spiritual realm, and God is the male gender of the spiritual realm. When a person receives Christ as Saviour, he is receiving Christ as a lover."

Schaap said the word "laid" in Psalm 119;30 "...is a sexual term which literally means a man laying with a woman." Schaap quotes Psalm 119:31 that says "I have stuck unto thy testimonies: O Lord put me not to shame."

Schaap said, "The word stuck means "the act of a man entering his wife; It is sexual intercourse."

Below are the scanned pages from his book.



"There are many ways to go to Heaven, and one is by drinking the juice of the Lord's Supper and eating the unleavened bread." That statement would send people to Hell. It is not the physical element alone that makes it so bad; the improper symbolism would likewise be incorrect.

The actual act of physical romance says, "union, one body." The one body says, "I am in union with God through this symbol." However, a person who has physical romance without marriage is symbolizing spiritual union without Christ. God hates sexual sins because they teach that a person can have a relationship with God apart from the union of Jesus Christ. That is why Jesus said, "... This is my body which is given for you..." representing the unique, close intimacy of the believer and God.

When a person takes the bread during the Lord's Supper, he is not actually eating Christ's body. That person is saying, "This element represents something." The person who deeply loves Christ understands that when He receives Christ as Saviour, it is a spiritual intercourse. A person receives the body of Christ. A Christian is the female gender in the spiritual realm, and God is the male gender of the spiritual realm. When a person receives Christ as Saviour, he is receiving Christ as a lover.

44 MARRIAGE: THE DIVINE INTIMACY

way to Heaven besides our Jehovah God and the sacrifice His Son will make on Calvary, then you are going to Hell when you die." God was using the Old Testament as symbolism. He was also showing the intimacy of romantic love related to man and God on a higher plane.

Psalm 119:30 says, "I have chosen the way of truth: thy judgments have I laid before me." That word laid is a sexual term which literally means the same thing as a man laying with a woman. God was saying that God's laws should be as intimate as a marriage partner in a sexual liaison.

In the next verse, David gets more graphic. "I have stuck unto thy testimonies: O LORD, put me not to shame." That word stuck means "the act of a man entering his wife"; it is sexual intercourse. God says that the Word of God should be the Christian's lover, and nothing should be closer to him than the Bible. The Words of God are supposed to be the most intimate lover of his life.

Listen to this **14 minute clip** of a sermon preached by Schaap, where he sympathizes with child molesters and calls Pope John Paul II "...a godly man."

It is interesting that we get all over Jack VanImpe or Billy Graham for praising the Pope, however if a man calls himself a fundamental, independent, Baptist he can get away with saying just about anything, including blasphemy.

Whatever Happened To The Doctrine of Separation?

"Now we command you, brethren, in the name of our Lord Jesus Christ, that ye withdraw yourselves from every brother that walketh disorderly, and not after the tradition which he received of us." (Second Thessalonians 3:6).

"And if any man obey not our word by this epistle, note that man, and have no company with him, that he may be ashamed. Yet count him not as an enemy, but admonish him as a brother." (Second Thessalonians 3:14-15).

Where Do You Stand?

The real problem here is not the Richmond Outreach Center. The real problem is not even Jack Schaap. They are what they are. **The problem is the compromising Baptist leaders who remain silent about the issue.**

silence Always Gives Consent. All that is necessary for evil to influence our young people is that pastors refuse to speak the truth.

Get Off The Fence!

It is past time for those who will "...earnestly contend for the faith which was once delivered unto the saints," to rise up and earnestly contend.

There is more to defending the faith than sitting in your office agreeing with Jude 1:3